



Balancing Efficiency and Environmental Impact in Sustainable Energy Project Governance



Enoch I. Obanor^{1*}, Adefemi O. Adeodu², Desmond E. Ighravwe³

¹ Department of Mechanical Engineering, Covenant University, 110232 Ota, Nigeria

² Department of Project Management, Bells University of Technology, 112101 Ota, Nigeria

³ Department of Mechanical Engineering, Bells University of Technology, 112101 Ota, Nigeria

* Correspondence: Enoch I. Obanor (enoch.obanorpgs@stu.cu.edu.ng)

Received: 04-30-2025

Revised: 06-12-2025

Accepted: 06-25-2025

Citation: E. I. Obanor, A. O. Adeodu, and D. E. Ighravwe, “Balancing efficiency and environmental impact in sustainable energy project governance,” *J. Sustain. Energy*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 189–205, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.56578/jse040205>.



© 2025 by the author(s). Licensee Acadlore Publishing Services Limited, Hong Kong. This article can be downloaded for free, and reused and quoted with a citation of the original published version, under the CC BY 4.0 license.

Abstract: Sustainable energy projects are widely recognised as a cornerstone of the global transition towards low-carbon energy systems; however, their successful implementation is frequently constrained by trade-offs between operational efficiency and environmental externalities across the project lifecycle. This paper presents a comprehensive view of project management strategies that enhance both efficiency and sustainability in energy projects. It covers key frameworks such as stakeholder engagement, efficient resource allocation, environmental assessments, and lifecycle analysis. The paper also explores risk management approaches, addressing financial, technological, and regulatory uncertainties that impact project success. By examining case studies of large-scale energy projects, the paper provides insights into best practices that ensure long-term project viability and resilience. Ultimately, effective project management functions as a key governance mechanism for implementing sustainable energy systems, ensuring that project-level decisions translate into positive system-wide environmental and energy performance outcomes.

Keywords: Sustainable energy; Project management; Efficiency; Environmental impact; Risk management; Renewable energy projects

1 Introduction

The global energy sector is undergoing a monumental shift as nations and industries seek to transition from conventional fossil fuel-based energy systems to more sustainable alternatives. This transformation is driven by an urgent need to mitigate climate change, reduce environmental degradation, and ensure a secure energy future [1]. Sustainable energy projects, encompassing technologies such as solar, wind, bioenergy, and hydropower, have emerged as viable solutions to meet these goals. However, managing these projects presents unique challenges, requiring a careful balance between optimizing project efficiency and minimizing environmental impact [2, 3]. As the pressure to meet sustainability targets increases, so does the importance of integrating effective project management strategies. While project management provides the operational foundation for delivering sustainable energy initiatives, this study positions project management practices within the broader context of sustainable energy systems governance and implementation [2]. Decisions made at the project level, such as resource allocation, technology selection, scheduling, and stakeholder engagement, directly influence system-level outcomes, including energy efficiency, emissions reduction, grid integration, and long-term environmental performance. By examining efficiency–environment trade-offs through a systems-oriented lens, this paper contributes to understanding how project-level managerial practices shape the sustainability of energy systems as a whole.

1.1 Overview of Sustainable Energy Projects

Sustainable energy projects refer to initiatives aimed at producing energy from renewable sources with minimal environmental impact. These projects typically involve harnessing natural resources such as sunlight, wind, biomass, and water to generate energy. Unlike traditional fossil fuel-based projects, sustainable energy ventures are characterized by their focus on reducing greenhouse gas emissions and promoting long-term energy security [4].

The increasing global focus on reducing carbon footprints has led to significant investments in sustainable energy, with governments, corporations, and international organizations pushing for the adoption of cleaner energy solutions [5]. The success of sustainable energy projects is often measured by their ability to achieve environmental goals while ensuring financial viability and operational efficiency, according to Nevskaya et al. [6]. These projects vary in scale, ranging from small community-based initiatives to large, utility-scale energy production systems. As the industry continues to grow, so too does the complexity of managing these projects, especially when balancing multiple stakeholder interests, regulatory requirements, and environmental standards [7].

1.2 Importance of Balancing Efficiency and Environmental Impact

Efficiency and environmental impact represent two critical pillars of sustainable energy projects. On the one hand, project efficiency relates to the optimal use of resources, time, and costs to achieve the desired outcomes [8]. Efficiency in project management means delivering projects on time, within budget, and meeting performance targets [9]. On the other hand, environmental impact refers to the effects a project has on the surrounding ecosystem, including air and water quality, land use, biodiversity, and greenhouse gas emissions [10].

Balancing these two elements is often challenging because the pursuit of efficiency can sometimes lead to compromises in environmental standards, as stated by Abbott and Snidal [11]. For instance, accelerating project timelines to meet market demands may result in insufficient environmental assessments or inadequate implementation of eco-friendly technologies. Conversely, focusing too heavily on environmental impact can increase costs, delay timelines, or reduce the overall competitiveness of the project [12]. This paper seeks to address these challenges by exploring strategies that allow project managers to navigate this delicate balance effectively. It delves into both efficiency and environmental impact as key objectives that must be harmonized in the development of these projects. This analysis will focus on various types of sustainable energy projects, including solar, wind, and bioenergy, offering insights into common challenges and the approaches that can be used to address them. The study has a threefold objective aimed at enhancing sustainable energy project management. First, it seeks to evaluate the importance of efficiency and explore the tools that can be leveraged to improve it. Second, the study assesses how environmental considerations can be effectively integrated into project management processes without compromising the overall success of the projects. Finally, it proposes strategic approaches that enable project managers to strike a balance between efficiency and environmental stewardship, fostering a more sustainable approach to energy project management.

This study adopts a narrative and conceptual review approach, synthesizing existing academic literature, policy documents, and documented case studies of large-scale sustainable energy projects. The analysis integrates project management theories with sustainable energy systems literature to develop conceptual linkages between managerial practices and sustainability outcomes. The selected case studies, such as the London Array Offshore Wind Farm and the Noor Solar Complex, were chosen due to their scale, technological relevance, and documented emphasis on both efficiency and environmental performance, making them representative examples of contemporary sustainable energy system implementation.

2 Sustainable Energy Projects: Key Drivers and Challenges

Sustainable energy projects are at the forefront of global efforts to combat climate change and transition to a more resilient, low-carbon future. These projects, which include renewable energy technologies such as solar, wind, bioenergy, and hydropower, represent a critical avenue for reducing greenhouse gas emissions and improving energy security [2, 13]. However, while the importance of sustainable energy projects is widely acknowledged, managing them effectively involves navigating a range of complex drivers and challenges. From policy incentives and technological innovation to environmental concerns and economic constraints, the factors that shape the development and success of sustainable energy projects are varied and dynamic, some of which are highlighted in this section [14, 15].

2.1 Global Trends in Sustainable Energy

The global energy landscape is experiencing a profound transformation, driven by the increasing demand for cleaner, more sustainable energy sources. Over the past two decades, renewable energy capacity has expanded significantly, fueled by declining technology costs, supportive government policies, and growing public awareness of climate change [16]. The International Energy Agency (IEA) reports that renewables accounted for nearly 29% of global electricity generation in 2020, a figure that is projected to increase substantially in the coming years [17]. Solar and wind energy, in particular, have seen exponential growth, with the cost of solar photovoltaic and wind turbines falling by more than 80% since 2010. These trends underscore the growing competitiveness of renewable energy technologies in the global market [18].

In addition to technological advancements, the global shift towards sustainable energy has been propelled by international agreements such as the Paris Agreement, which calls for a significant reduction in carbon emissions

to limit global temperature rise to well below 2°C [19]. National governments have responded with ambitious renewable energy targets, carbon pricing mechanisms, and green investment programs aimed at accelerating the energy transition. For example, the European Union's Green Deal and the U.S. Inflation Reduction Act include substantial incentives for renewable energy projects, creating a conducive environment for investment in clean energy infrastructure [20].

Despite these positive trends, the deployment of sustainable energy projects varies significantly across regions, with developing countries facing unique challenges in accessing financing, technology, and skilled labor [4]. Moreover, the intermittent nature of renewable energy sources like solar and wind presents challenges for grid stability and energy storage, requiring innovative solutions to ensure reliable energy supply [19, 21].

2.2 Key Drivers of Sustainable Energy Projects

Several key drivers are accelerating the growth of sustainable energy projects, each contributing to the increasing global emphasis on renewable energy development. These drivers include environmental concerns, policy and regulatory support, technological innovation, and market dynamics [1].

2.2.1 Environmental concerns and climate change mitigation

The most significant driver behind sustainable energy projects is the growing need to address environmental degradation and mitigate the impacts of climate change [22]. The energy sector is the largest source of global greenhouse gas emissions, accounting for approximately 75% of total emissions [23]. Transitioning to low-carbon energy sources is therefore crucial for achieving global climate goals. The urgency of climate change is driving governments, corporations, and communities to prioritize renewable energy solutions that can reduce reliance on fossil fuels and help limit global temperature rise [24].

2.2.2 Policy and regulatory support

Government policies and regulations play a pivotal role in shaping the development of sustainable energy projects [25]. Many countries have introduced renewable energy targets, feed-in tariffs, tax credits, and subsidies to encourage the adoption of clean energy technologies. In addition, carbon pricing mechanisms, such as carbon taxes and cap-and-trade systems, are incentivizing companies to invest in low-carbon energy sources [26]. These policy frameworks create a favorable investment environment and help reduce the financial risks associated with renewable energy projects [14].

In recent years, international organizations such as the United Nations and the World Bank have also ramped up support for sustainable energy initiatives, providing technical assistance, financing, and capacity-building programs to developing countries. Sustainable Development Goal 7 (SDG 7), which aims to ensure access to affordable, reliable, sustainable, and modern energy for all, is a key driver of global efforts to expand renewable energy infrastructure [27].

2.2.3 Technological innovation

Technological advancements have been a game-changer for the sustainable energy sector. Breakthroughs in solar photovoltaic, wind turbine design, energy storage, and smart grid technology have dramatically improved the efficiency and cost-effectiveness of renewable energy systems. For instance, the rise of battery storage solutions is addressing one of the primary challenges of renewable energy, intermittency, by enabling the storage of excess energy generated during periods of high renewable output [28]. Innovations in digitalization, such as artificial intelligence and the Internet of Things, are also enhancing energy management systems, allowing for more precise forecasting, monitoring, and control of energy generation and consumption [29].

Technological innovation not only drives down costs but also opens up new opportunities for decentralized energy systems, particularly in remote and underserved areas. Off-grid solar systems, for example, are providing clean electricity to millions of people in rural regions, contributing to energy access and social development [30].

2.2.4 Market dynamics and private sector investment

The increasing competitiveness of renewable energy technologies has attracted significant investment from the private sector. As stated by Li [31], global investment in renewable energy reached \$303.5 billion in 2020, with large corporations, financial institutions, and venture capitalists recognizing the long-term profitability of clean energy projects. As the cost of renewable technologies continues to decline, and the demand for sustainable solutions rises, the market for sustainable energy is expected to grow further, presenting lucrative opportunities for investors [32].

Additionally, the corporate sustainability movement, driven by consumer demand and corporate social responsibility initiatives, is encouraging businesses to adopt renewable energy solutions [33]. Companies such as Google, Apple, and Amazon have committed to achieving 100% renewable energy for their operations, further driving demand for sustainable energy projects [34].

2.3 Challenges Facing Sustainable Energy Projects

While the growth of sustainable energy projects is promising, several challenges must be addressed to ensure their successful implementation. These challenges range from technical and financial barriers to social and environmental considerations.

2.3.1 Technical and infrastructure challenges

One of the primary technical challenges facing sustainable energy projects is the integration of intermittent renewable energy sources into existing energy grids. Solar and wind energy generation is dependent on weather conditions, which can result in fluctuations in energy supply. Ensuring grid stability requires the development of advanced energy storage systems, demand-side management, and flexible grid infrastructure. In addition, many regions, particularly in developing countries, lack the necessary infrastructure to support large-scale renewable energy projects. Building the required transmission lines, substations, and distribution networks can be costly and time-consuming, delaying the deployment of sustainable energy solutions [35, 36].

2.3.2 Financial constraints and investment risks

Financing remains a major hurdle for many sustainable energy projects [37]. High upfront capital costs, coupled with long payback periods, can deter investors, particularly in regions with unstable political and economic conditions. Access to affordable financing is crucial for scaling up renewable energy projects, yet many developing countries struggle to attract sufficient investment due to perceived risks such as currency volatility, regulatory uncertainty, and lack of creditworthiness [38]. To address these challenges, innovative financing mechanisms such as green bonds, public-private partnerships, and blended finance models are emerging as potential solutions. However, ensuring that these financing tools are accessible to all countries remains a challenge.

2.3.3 Social and environmental considerations

Sustainable energy projects, despite their environmental benefits, can also face opposition from local communities due to land use concerns, displacement, and perceived environmental impacts [39]. For example, large-scale solar farms may require vast amounts of land, potentially leading to conflicts with agricultural use or natural habitats. Wind turbines, while generating clean energy, have been criticized for their impact on bird populations and noise pollution in nearby communities [40]. Engaging local communities and stakeholders in the planning and decision-making process is essential for mitigating social and environmental risks. Implementing robust environmental impact assessments (EIAs) and adopting best practices for land use and biodiversity protection can help ensure that sustainable energy projects are both socially and environmentally responsible [41].

2.3.4 Regulatory and policy uncertainty

While supportive policies have driven the growth of renewable energy, regulatory uncertainty remains a significant challenge. Sudden changes in government policies, such as the reduction or removal of subsidies, can create uncertainty for investors and project developers [14]. Moreover, inconsistent policy frameworks across different regions can make it difficult to scale up projects or develop standardized approaches to project management [3]. To ensure the long-term success of sustainable energy projects, stable and predictable policy environments are needed. This requires strong political will, clear regulatory frameworks, and transparent governance structures that promote investor confidence and long-term planning [27].

3 Efficiency in Sustainable Energy Project Management

Efficiency is a cornerstone of effective project management, particularly in the realm of sustainable energy projects, where resources, timelines, and budgets are often constrained. In these projects, efficiency is not merely a matter of cost-saving or speed; it also encompasses the strategic use of materials, labor, and technologies to ensure optimal outcomes without sacrificing quality or sustainability [42]. Achieving efficiency in sustainable energy projects can be challenging due to the inherent complexities of renewable energy technologies, regulatory requirements, and stakeholder demands.

3.1 Efficiency in Energy Projects

In the context of sustainable energy projects, efficiency can be viewed through several lenses, each contributing to the overall success of the project. These include:

- **Resource Efficiency:** This refers to the optimal use of physical and human resources. Projects that minimize waste, reduce energy consumption during construction, and deploy materials sustainably are considered resource-efficient.
- **Cost Efficiency:** Managing project costs is a critical aspect of efficiency. This involves not only adhering to the project budget but also finding ways to reduce expenses without compromising quality or environmental goals.

- **Time Efficiency:** Completing projects within the agreed-upon timelines is essential for ensuring that energy solutions are delivered when they are needed. Time efficiency is particularly important for large-scale renewable energy projects, where delays can result in significant financial losses and missed policy or market opportunities.
- **Operational Efficiency:** After a project is completed, the operational phase must continue to function efficiently. For sustainable energy projects, this means maximizing energy production while minimizing maintenance costs and downtime.

Each of these dimensions contributes to the overall efficiency of a project and is intertwined with the broader objectives of sustainability and environmental stewardship [43, 44].

Taken together, the efficiency dimensions discussed in this section highlight that sustainable energy project efficiency is not limited to cost and schedule performance, but extends to long-term system operability and energy output. Best practices such as agile coordination, digital modelling, and proactive risk management enable project teams to reduce inefficiencies that would otherwise compromise energy system performance and environmental outcomes during the operational phase.

3.2 Tools and Methodologies for Improving Efficiency

Achieving high levels of efficiency in sustainable energy project management requires the use of advanced tools and methodologies. These approaches help project managers streamline workflows, optimize resource use, and ensure that projects meet their environmental and financial goals. The following are some of the most commonly used tools and methodologies in this context.

3.2.1 Lean project management

Lean principles, originally developed in manufacturing, have been successfully adapted for use in project management across various sectors, including sustainable energy. Lean focuses on eliminating waste, improving processes, and increasing value to the customer. In the context of energy projects, lean practices help to reduce unnecessary costs, avoid resource overuse, and streamline project phases [45, 46].

Key practices in lean project management include:

- **Value Stream Mapping:** This tool helps project teams visualize the entire workflow, from design to delivery, identifying areas where inefficiencies and waste occur.
- **Just-in-Time (JIT) Delivery:** By ensuring that materials are delivered precisely when needed, JIT reduces storage costs and prevents over-ordering, which can lead to resource wastage.
- **Continuous Improvement:** A commitment to making small, incremental improvements over time ensures that projects evolve to become more efficient as they progress.

3.2.2 Agile project management

Agile project management, which originated in software development, has gained traction in managing energy projects, particularly those that are highly complex or involve significant uncertainty. Agile promotes flexibility, collaboration, and customer-centricity, making it an effective approach for sustainable energy projects where market conditions, regulatory environments, or technological landscapes can shift rapidly [45]. Agile's iterative approach allows for regular adjustments based on stakeholder feedback, which can be invaluable in projects where technology and environmental conditions are continuously evolving. For example, a wind farm project might benefit from agile methodologies by enabling the team to adjust its plans in response to shifting wind patterns or new regulatory requirements [47].

The core elements of agile that can improve project efficiency include:

- **Sprints:** Breaking the project into manageable, time-limited phases (sprints) ensures steady progress and allows for regular evaluation of outcomes.
- **Daily Stand-ups and Communication:** Agile emphasizes frequent, transparent communication within teams, ensuring that everyone remains aligned and can address any issues that arise immediately.
- **Prioritization:** By prioritizing high-impact tasks, agile teams can focus on delivering the most valuable components of the project early, reducing the risk of delays.

3.2.3 Six Sigma and process optimization

Six Sigma is another widely used methodology that focuses on process improvement and defect reduction. Although originally developed for manufacturing, it can be applied to enhance the efficiency of sustainable energy projects by identifying and eliminating inefficiencies in the project pipeline. Six Sigma uses data-driven techniques to streamline operations and reduce variability, ensuring that projects are delivered on time and within budget [45, 48].

In the context of sustainable energy projects, Six Sigma can be particularly useful for:

- **Risk Management:** By analyzing potential risks and their impact on the project, Six Sigma can help project managers take proactive measures to mitigate delays and cost overruns.
- **Performance Tracking:** The use of performance metrics allows teams to measure progress and adjust processes as needed to improve overall efficiency.

- **Quality Control:** Ensuring that each phase of the project meets the desired quality standards is crucial for reducing rework and ensuring that resources are used efficiently.

3.2.4 Building Information Modelling

Building Information Modelling (BIM) is an increasingly popular tool in the construction and energy sectors for improving project efficiency. BIM involves the digital Modelling of a project's physical and functional characteristics, allowing for better coordination, visualization, and decision-making throughout the project lifecycle [49, 50].

In sustainable energy projects, BIM can be particularly beneficial in:

- **Design Optimization:** By creating detailed models, project teams can explore different design options, material choices, and energy system configurations to identify the most efficient solutions.
- **Collaboration:** BIM enhances collaboration between different stakeholders (architects, engineers, project managers, and contractors) by providing a centralized platform for sharing project data in real time.
- **Risk Reduction:** By simulating project outcomes in a virtual environment, BIM allows project managers to identify and address potential issues before construction begins, reducing the likelihood of costly delays or design flaws.

3.2.5 Critical Path Method and Program Evaluation Review Technique

For large-scale sustainable energy projects, which often involve multiple interdependent tasks, project managers commonly use tools like Critical Path Method (CPM) and Program Evaluation Review Technique (PERT) to optimize timelines and resource allocation [51]. These methodologies help teams identify the most time-sensitive activities and determine the minimum amount of time required to complete a project [52].

According to Ajiboye [53], CPM and PERT can improve efficiency by:

- **Scheduling Optimization:** By identifying the critical path, project managers can focus on the tasks that have the greatest impact on the overall timeline, ensuring that these activities are completed on time.
- **Resource Allocation:** By understanding task dependencies, project teams can allocate resources more effectively, ensuring that no bottlenecks occur.
- **Risk Mitigation:** CPM and PERT help teams anticipate potential delays and develop contingency plans to keep the project on track.

3.3 Case Studies of Efficient Project Management Practices

3.3.1 London Array Offshore Wind Farm (UK)

The London Array is one of the world's largest offshore wind farms, capable of powering nearly half a million homes and thus contributing greatly to SDG 7 [53]. The success of this project was largely due to the use of Lean principles and Six Sigma methodologies, as they have both emerged as project management concepts [54–56].

Efficiency-enhancing practices in the London Array project included:

- **Lean Construction:** By optimizing construction processes and reducing material waste, the project team was able to complete installation on time and within budget [57].
- **Six Sigma Quality Control:** Rigorous quality control processes ensured that turbines were installed correctly the first time, avoiding costly rework and delays [58].

The London Array stands as a benchmark for efficient project execution in the renewable energy sector.

3.3.2 Noor Solar Complex (Morocco)

The Noor Solar Complex in Morocco is one of the largest concentrated solar power plants in the world. This project exemplifies how a focus on time and cost efficiency can lead to successful large-scale renewable energy developments [59].

Key efficiency practices included:

- **Use of BIM:** The project team used BIM to optimize plant design, ensuring that solar collectors were positioned for maximum efficiency while minimizing land use [50].
- **CPM:** By identifying the critical tasks that could delay the project, the team was able to prioritize resources and complete construction ahead of schedule [59].

As a result, the Noor Solar Complex has become a flagship project for renewable energy in Africa, providing clean energy to over a million people while setting new standards for project efficiency.

3.4 Linking Project Management Practices to Energy Sustainability Outcomes

Project management practices influence sustainable energy systems not only through cost and schedule control but also through their impact on energy efficiency, emissions reduction, and environmental performance [32]. Lean project management reduces material waste and construction-related emissions, thereby lowering the embodied carbon of energy infrastructure [60]. Agile methodologies enhance system adaptability by allowing iterative optimization of energy technologies in response to regulatory, environmental, or climatic changes. Tools such as BIM

contribute to improved energy system performance by enabling optimized plant layouts, reducing energy losses, and supporting lifecycle energy analysis. Similarly, Six Sigma approaches improve operational efficiency by minimizing defects and downtime, which enhances long-term energy output and system reliability [61]. Collectively, these managerial practices act as indirect but critical drivers of system-level sustainability outcomes, including improved energy efficiency, reduced environmental impact, and enhanced resilience for sustainable energy systems.

4 Balancing Efficiency and Environmental Impact in Sustainable Energy Projects

In sustainable energy projects, the dual goals of efficiency and environmental stewardship often appear to be at odds with one another [3, 62]. Achieving optimal efficiency typically involves streamlining processes, minimizing costs, and completing projects on time, while environmental sustainability emphasizes reducing ecological footprints, conserving natural resources, and adhering to stringent environmental regulations [63]. However, in the context of sustainable energy projects, it is crucial for project managers to strike a balance between these objectives.

4.1 The Trade-Offs Between Efficiency and Environmental Impact

Efforts to enhance efficiency in energy projects may sometimes lead to environmental trade-offs. For example, the push to reduce project timelines and costs could lead to shortcuts in environmental assessments, the use of less sustainable materials, or inadequate mitigation of environmental damage [63]. On the other hand, stringent environmental regulations can increase project complexity and costs, potentially delaying completion and reducing the financial viability of a project [64].

Key trade-offs include:

- **Resource Use vs. Environmental Preservation:** Increasing efficiency often involves optimizing resource use, but some practices, like the use of low-cost materials, may have negative environmental impacts such as higher carbon footprints or depletion of non-renewable resources [65].

- **Cost vs. Long-Term Environmental Sustainability:** While cost-cutting measures can improve short-term financial efficiency, they may result in higher long-term environmental costs, such as the need for remediation or higher operational emissions due to poor design or lower-quality materials [66].

- **Speed vs. Thoroughness in Environmental Assessments:** Accelerating project timelines can lead to inadequate environmental assessments or insufficient community consultations, resulting in unforeseen environmental harm or pushback from local stakeholders [67].

Successfully managing these trade-offs requires careful planning, innovative strategies, and the use of tools that allow for both efficiency and sustainability.

4.2 Strategies for Balancing Efficiency and Environmental Impact

Balancing efficiency and environmental impact in sustainable energy projects is not only possible but also necessary for long-term project success. Several strategies have emerged that allow project managers to optimize both dimensions.

4.2.1 Integrated environmental and efficiency planning

The first step in achieving a balance between efficiency and environmental goals is to integrate environmental considerations into the project planning process from the outset. By conducting comprehensive environmental assessments early in the project lifecycle, project managers can identify potential environmental risks and constraints, allowing them to design efficient solutions that mitigate those impacts [68]. Two key approaches include:

- **Life Cycle Assessments:** Life cycle assessments help project managers evaluate the environmental impacts of a project across its entire life cycle, from material extraction to decommissioning. This allows for more informed decision-making that takes long-term environmental sustainability into account while still focusing on efficient use of resources as stated by Iguider et al. [69].

- **Sustainability Frameworks:** Using frameworks such as the United Nations SDGs or Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design can provide structured guidelines for balancing environmental performance with operational efficiency [68, 69].

By planning with environmental sustainability in mind, project managers can avoid last-minute changes that can lead to inefficiencies, delays, or increased costs.

4.2.2 Use of sustainable materials and technologies

Selecting sustainable materials and technologies is one of the most effective ways to achieve both efficiency and environmental goals [70]. Advances in green technology have made it possible for project managers to choose materials and construction practices that reduce carbon emissions, minimize waste, and improve energy efficiency without sacrificing project timelines or budgets. Examples of sustainable materials and technologies include:

- **Low-Carbon Building Materials:** The use of recycled steel, sustainable wood, and low-carbon concrete reduces the environmental impact of construction without compromising structural integrity or efficiency [70].

- **Renewable Energy Integration:** Incorporating renewable energy sources into project operations, such as using solar panels for power during construction or integrating on-site wind turbines, can reduce operational costs while minimizing environmental footprints [71].

- **Energy-Efficient Technologies:** Advanced technologies, such as smart grids, energy storage systems, and high-efficiency turbines, can enhance the performance of renewable energy projects while minimizing the environmental impact of energy production [67].

These materials and technologies not only reduce the environmental impact of projects but also improve overall operational efficiency, leading to long-term cost savings and more sustainable outcomes.

4.2.3 Adopting circular economy principles

Circular economy principles aim to reduce waste, optimize resource use, and minimize environmental impact by extending the life cycle of materials and promoting reuse, recycling, and sustainable disposal practices [72]. Applying these principles to sustainable energy projects allows for the efficient use of resources while minimizing environmental harm. Key circular economy strategies include:

- **Resource Recovery and Recycling:** Reusing materials from decommissioned energy infrastructure, such as wind turbine blades or solar panels, can reduce the environmental impact of new projects while lowering material costs [72].

- **Design for Disassembly:** Designing projects with the end of their life cycle in mind can facilitate easier dismantling, recycling, and repurposing of components, reducing waste and environmental degradation [73].

- **Energy Efficiency in Operations:** Incorporating energy-efficient technologies during the operation phase of renewable energy projects ensures that they continue to deliver high environmental performance throughout their life cycle [67].

By adopting circular economy principles, project managers can create sustainable energy projects that are both efficient and environmentally responsible.

4.2.4 Stakeholder engagement and collaboration

Balancing efficiency with environmental impact requires close collaboration with a wide range of stakeholders, including regulatory bodies, local communities, environmental groups, and project financiers [74]. Engaging stakeholders early and often in the project lifecycle helps identify potential environmental concerns and find mutually acceptable solutions that do not compromise efficiency [75]. Successful stakeholder engagement ensures that projects meet both environmental and efficiency goals while minimizing the risk of delays or cost overruns caused by community opposition or regulatory challenges. Strategies for effective stakeholder engagement include and are not limited to:

- **Participatory Environmental Planning:** Involving local communities and environmental organizations in the planning process can lead to more innovative solutions that balance environmental protection with project efficiency [76].

- **Transparent Communication:** Keeping stakeholders informed about project developments, environmental impacts, and efficiency measures builds trust and reduces the likelihood of project delays due to opposition or regulatory hurdles [74].

- **Collaborative Innovation:** Partnering with environmental technology providers, research institutions, and NGOs can lead to the development of new tools and methodologies that improve both project efficiency and environmental sustainability [75].

Table 1 summarizes the integrative framework of this study, illustrating how project management practices function as mechanisms linking project execution to system-level energy sustainability outcomes.

Table 1. Project management practices and sustainable energy system outcomes

Project Management Practice	Energy System Impact	Sustainability Outcome
Lean project management	Reduced construction waste and embodied energy	Lower lifecycle emissions
Agile project management	Adaptive system optimization	Improved resilience and performance
Building Information Modelling (BIM)	Optimized design and reduced energy losses	Higher energy efficiency
Life cycle assessment	Informed technology selection	Reduced environmental footprint
Stakeholder engagement	Social acceptance and regulatory compliance	Long-term system viability

4.3 Real-World Examples of Successful Balancing

4.3.1 Gemini Wind Farm (Netherlands)

The Gemini Wind Farm, located in the North Sea, is one of the largest offshore wind farms in the world [77]. The project exemplifies how efficient project management can coexist with strong environmental stewardship. The project's EIA identified sensitive marine ecosystems, and construction schedules were adjusted to avoid disturbing local wildlife. The project also invested in biodiversity protection programs to mitigate the impact of operations [77, 78].

4.3.2 Noor Solar Complex (Morocco)

The Noor Solar Complex, one of the largest concentrated solar power plants in the world, is another example of successful balancing. The project adopted a phased construction approach, allowing for early commissioning of completed phases while construction continued on later stages, ensuring a steady return on investment [79]. The project also integrated water-saving technologies and employed advanced energy storage systems to minimize its environmental impact while ensuring efficient, 24-hour energy production [80]. This balance of efficiency and environmental considerations has made the Noor Solar Complex a model for large-scale renewable energy projects.

5 Long-Term Sustainability and Risk Management in Sustainable Energy Projects

Sustainable energy projects are designed with the intention of promoting a cleaner, more resilient energy future. However, achieving long-term sustainability requires much more than merely completing a project. It involves ensuring that the project continues to deliver environmental, economic, and social benefits over its lifetime, while mitigating the risks that could threaten its success [81]. Sustainable energy projects are subject to a wide range of risks, from technological and financial uncertainties to political and environmental challenges. Proper risk management is critical in mitigating these risks and ensuring that projects remain viable and effective in the long term [82]. Long-term sustainability in the context of sustainable energy projects goes beyond the completion of the project and focuses on its enduring impact. A sustainable project must continue to:

- **Provide Reliable Energy:** The project should deliver a consistent and reliable energy supply, ensuring that the energy generated meets demand without interruptions.
- **Maintain Environmental Integrity:** The project should minimize its environmental footprint not only during the construction phase but also throughout its operational life. This includes reducing greenhouse gas emissions, protecting local ecosystems, and promoting biodiversity.
- **Ensure Economic Viability:** The project should remain financially viable over its lifetime, providing returns on investment while avoiding the need for excessive maintenance or costly upgrades.
- **Contribute to Social and Community Goals:** The project should contribute positively to the local community, whether through job creation, improved infrastructure, or by fostering energy independence.

Achieving long-term sustainability involves maintaining a careful balance of these elements while managing the risks that could undermine them.

5.1 Key Risks in Sustainable Energy Projects

Sustainable energy projects are exposed to a variety of risks that can affect their ability to meet long-term sustainability goals [83]. These risks can be broadly categorized into the following:

i. Technological Risks

- **Innovation Uncertainty:** Renewable energy technologies are rapidly evolving, and while this creates opportunities for increased efficiency, it also introduces uncertainties. The deployment of untested or emerging technologies can lead to unexpected failures or underperformance, requiring costly retrofits or replacements [82, 83].
- **Operational Efficiency:** Maintaining the efficiency of technology over time is another risk. For example, the efficiency of solar panels may degrade, or wind turbines may require frequent maintenance, impacting the long-term viability of the project [83–85].

ii. Financial and Market Risks

- **Capital Costs:** Sustainable energy projects often involve high upfront capital costs, which can be difficult to recover if energy prices fall or if the project fails to operate at full capacity [83].
- **Revenue Instability:** The profitability of energy projects is closely tied to market conditions, such as fluctuating energy prices, changes in demand, or disruptions in the supply chain. Renewable energy projects, in particular, are vulnerable to changes in policy incentives like subsidies or tax breaks [84].
- **Financing Challenges:** Securing long-term financing for renewable energy projects can be a challenge, particularly in regions with underdeveloped financial markets or where lenders view renewable energy as a high-risk investment [85].

iii. Environmental and Regulatory Risks

- **Changing Environmental Regulations:** Governments and international organizations frequently update environmental regulations in response to new scientific findings or political pressures. Projects that do not comply with the latest standards may face fines, shutdowns, or expensive retrofits [3, 85].

- **Climate Change and Natural Disasters:** While renewable energy projects are often seen as a solution to climate change, they are also vulnerable to its effects. Rising sea levels, extreme weather events, and changing climate patterns can damage infrastructure or reduce the efficiency of renewable energy sources like solar and wind [86].

iv. Political and Policy Risks

- **Policy Changes:** The renewable energy sector is heavily influenced by government policies, including subsidies, feed-in tariffs, and tax incentives. Changes in government priorities or political instability can lead to the withdrawal of support, threatening the financial viability of projects [2].

- **Geopolitical Risks:** Projects located in politically unstable regions may face disruptions due to conflict, corruption, or changing trade policies [87].

v. Social and Community Risks

- **Public Opposition:** Sustainable energy projects, particularly large-scale ones like wind farms or solar parks, can sometimes face resistance from local communities due to concerns about land use, noise pollution, or aesthetic impacts. Failure to engage effectively with local stakeholders can lead to delays, legal battles, or even the cancellation of projects [88].

- **Social Inequality:** Projects that do not address the needs of local populations, such as providing affordable energy access or creating jobs, may face opposition or fail to achieve long-term success [88].

Managing these risks requires a comprehensive risk management strategy that addresses the specific challenges of sustainable energy projects while ensuring that they remain aligned with their long-term sustainability goals.

5.2 Strategies for Managing Risks in Sustainable Energy Projects

Effective risk management is essential to the success and long-term sustainability of energy projects [81]. By identifying, assessing, and mitigating risks early, project managers can ensure that their projects remain on track and continue to provide sustainable benefits over time. The following strategies have proven effective in managing the risks associated with sustainable energy projects.

5.2.1 Diversification of technologies and energy sources

One of the key ways to manage technological risks is through the diversification of technologies and energy sources [89]. Rather than relying on a single technology, such as solar or wind, many projects now incorporate hybrid systems that combine multiple renewable energy sources. For example, integrating solar power with battery storage or pairing wind farms with hydroelectric power plants can help to mitigate the variability in energy production and ensure a more stable supply [2]. This approach not only reduces the risk of technological failure but also enhances the overall efficiency and reliability of the energy system. By diversifying their energy sources, project managers can also capitalize on different renewable energy incentives and market opportunities, further reducing financial risk [20].

5.2.2 Long-term power purchase agreements and hedging strategies

To manage financial and market risks, many energy projects secure long-term power purchase agreements (PPAs) with utility companies or large energy consumers [90]. PPAs provide a stable revenue stream by guaranteeing that the energy produced by the project will be purchased at a fixed price over a specified period. This reduces the risk of revenue fluctuations due to market volatility and helps attract financing from investors who are more likely to invest in projects with predictable cash flows.

In addition to PPAs, energy projects can employ hedging strategies to protect against fluctuations in energy prices or exchange rates. Financial instruments such as futures contracts or swaps can be used to lock in prices or exchange rates, reducing exposure to market risks [91].

5.2.3 Robust environmental impact assessment

Comprehensive EIAs are critical for managing environmental and regulatory risks. By conducting thorough EIAs before construction begins, project managers can identify potential environmental risks and develop mitigation strategies to minimize harm to ecosystems, biodiversity, and local communities [92]. In addition to meeting regulatory requirements, EIAs can also help project managers avoid costly legal battles or delays caused by environmental groups or local opposition. Engaging with environmental stakeholders early in the process ensures that their concerns are addressed, reducing the likelihood of disputes later in the project lifecycle [93].

5.2.4 Climate resilience planning

Given the increasing frequency and severity of climate-related events, project managers must ensure that their energy projects are resilient to the effects of climate change. This involves designing infrastructure that can withstand extreme weather events, such as hurricanes or floods, as well as considering the long-term impacts of climate change

on energy production [86]. Solar farms, for example, in regions prone to heatwaves, may need to incorporate cooling technologies to maintain efficiency, while offshore wind farms must be built to withstand rising sea levels and stronger storms [94, 95]. By integrating climate resilience into the project design, managers can ensure that their projects remain operational and sustainable in the face of changing environmental conditions.

5.2.5 Engagement with local communities and stakeholders

Social and community risks can be managed by actively engaging with local stakeholders throughout the project lifecycle. This involves not only consulting with local communities during the planning phase but also ensuring that they benefit from the project, whether through job creation, improved infrastructure, or access to affordable energy [88]. Projects that fail to engage with local communities are more likely to face opposition, delays, and even cancellation. On the other hand, projects that prioritize social sustainability and provide tangible benefits to the local population are more likely to succeed in the long term. Engaging with local communities early on also helps build trust and support for the project, reducing the likelihood of legal challenges or protests [96].

5.2.6 Flexible and adaptive project management

Given the uncertainties inherent in renewable energy projects, flexible and adaptive project management approaches are essential for managing risk. Agile project management methodologies, which prioritize flexibility, rapid iteration, and stakeholder engagement, are particularly well-suited to sustainable energy projects [97]. By adopting an agile approach, project managers can quickly adapt to changes in technology, market conditions, or regulatory environments. This allows them to respond to risks as they arise and make necessary adjustments to keep the project on track.

5.2.7 Insurance and contingency planning

Insurance is a critical tool for managing risks in sustainable energy projects. Project managers should ensure that their projects are adequately insured against a range of potential risks, including natural disasters, equipment failure, and political instability [98, 99]. In addition to insurance, project managers should develop comprehensive contingency plans that outline how they will respond to unforeseen risks [100]. This might include setting aside contingency funds, establishing emergency response procedures, or creating backup plans for critical project components.

6 Conclusion

Sustainable energy projects represent a crucial step towards a greener and more resilient global energy future. However, realizing these projects' full potential requires a nuanced and integrated approach to project management. This paper explored the fundamental strategies that balance efficiency with environmental impact, addressing both the immediate and long-term challenges. The study also examined the strategic frameworks for enhancing project efficiency through innovative design, robust stakeholder engagement, and effective resource allocation. Furthermore, the critical role of EIA, lifecycle analysis, and risk management in promoting the sustainability of energy projects has been discussed in detail. Balancing these elements remains essential for the successful execution of sustainable energy projects, particularly in the face of fluctuating political, financial, and environmental dynamics.

However, this study is primarily conceptual and relies on secondary literature and documented case studies, which limits the ability to quantify causal relationships between managerial practices and sustainability outcomes. Additionally, the effectiveness of the proposed approaches may vary across geographic, regulatory, and technological contexts. Differences in policy stability, financial capacity, and institutional maturity can influence the transferability of best practices. Future research could address these limitations through empirical analysis, comparative case studies, or system-level modelling to validate and extend the conceptual framework proposed in this study.

In addition, the importance of managing risks has been emphasized as a core responsibility of project managers. By incorporating strategies like diversification, adaptive management, and strong stakeholder engagement, energy projects can mitigate risks and ensure long-term success. The case studies presented provide tangible examples of how these strategies can be applied in real-world projects, reinforcing the need for flexible, resilient planning. Ultimately, the successful implementation of sustainable energy projects hinges on harmonizing economic, environmental, and social priorities. Through strategic project management, these projects can continue to deliver value and contribute to a more sustainable energy landscape.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization, E.I.O.; writing—original draft preparation, E.I.O.; writing—review and editing, E.I.O., A.O.A., and D.E.I.; supervision, A.O.A. and D.E.I. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Data Availability

No data was generated or analysed during the course of this work.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] Q. Hassan, P. Viktor, T. J. Al-Musawi, B. M. Ali, S. Algburi, H. M. Alzoubi, A. K. Al-Jiboory, A. Z. Sameen, H. M. Salman, and M. Jaszczur, "The renewable energy role in the global energy transformations," *Renew. Energy Focus*, vol. 48, p. 100545, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ref.2024.100545>
- [2] J. O. Dirisu, S. O. Oyedepo, O. C. Olawole, T. E. Somefun, N. J. Peter, D. Babatunde, C. N. Nwaokocha, A. O. Onokwai, E. Obanor, and M. M. Alam, "A comprehensive review of biofuel utilization for household cooking in developing countries: Economic and environmental impacts," *Process Saf. Environ. Prot.*, vol. 191, pp. 585–604, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2024.08.068>
- [3] B. Tarroja, J. D. Eichman, L. Zhang, T. M. Brown, and S. Samuelson, "The effectiveness of plug-in hybrid electric vehicles and renewable power in support of holistic environmental goals: Part 2—Design and operation implications for load-balancing resources on the electric grid," *J. Power Sources*, vol. 278, pp. 782–793, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2014.06.169>
- [4] O. A. Adelekan, B. S. Ilugbusi, O. Adisa, O. C. Obi, K. F. Awonuga, O. F. Asuzu, and N. L. Ndubuisi, "Energy transition policies: A global review of shifts towards renewable sources," *Eng. Sci. Technol. J.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 272–287, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.51594/estj.v5i2.752>
- [5] H. S. Salem, M. Y. Pudza, and Y. Yihdego, "Harnessing the energy transition from total dependence on fossil to renewable energy in the Arabian Gulf region, considering population, climate change impacts, ecological and carbon footprints, and United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals," *Sustain. Earth Rev.*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 10, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42055-023-00057-4>
- [6] M. A. Nevskaya, S. M. Raikhlin, and A. F. Chanysheva, "Assessment of energy efficiency projects at Russian mining enterprises within the framework of sustainable development," *Sustainability*, vol. 16, no. 17, p. 7478, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16177478>
- [7] H. Kerzner, *Project Management Metrics, KPIs, And Dashboards: A Guide to Measuring and Monitoring Project Performance*. John Wiley & Sons, 2022.
- [8] S. Nate, Y. Bilan, D. Cherevatskyi, G. Kharlamova, O. Lyakh, and A. Wosiak, "The impact of energy consumption on the three pillars of sustainable development," *Energies*, vol. 14, no. 5, p. 1372, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en14051372>
- [9] C. Zid, N. Kasim, and A. R. Soomro, "Effective project management approach to attain project success, based on cost-time-quality," *Int. J. Proj. Organ. Manag.*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 149–163, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJPOM.2020.10027903>
- [10] A. S. Kolawole and A. O. Iyiola, "Environmental pollution: Threats, impact on biodiversity, and protection strategies," in *Sustainable Utilization and Conservation of Africa's Biological Resources and Environment*. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore, 2023, pp. 377–409. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-6974-4_14
- [11] K. W. Abbott and D. Snidal, "The governance triangle: Regulatory standards institutions and the shadow of the state," in *The Spectrum of International Institutions*. Routledge, 2021, pp. 52–91.
- [12] M. L. Ramadhan, F. Nugraha, D. A. Prastowo, A. Kusumawardhani, and S. T. Raharjo, "Development of environmentally friendly technology for key industries in achieving golden Indonesia," *Res. Horizon*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 205–220, 2024.
- [13] K. E. Gan, O. Taikan, T. Y. Gan, T. Weis, D. Yamazaki, and H. Schüttrumpf, "Enhancing renewable energy systems, contributing to sustainable development goals of United Nation and building resilience against climate change impacts," *Energy Technol.*, vol. 11, no. 11, p. 2300275, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ente.202300275>
- [14] N. Fatima, Y. Li, M. Ahmad, G. Jabeen, and X. Li, "Factors influencing renewable energy generation development: A way to environmental sustainability," *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, vol. 28, no. 37, pp. 51 714–51 732, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-14256-z>
- [15] E. I. Obanor, J. O. Dirisu, O. O. Kilanko, E. Y. Salawu, and O. O. Ajayi, "Progress in green hydrogen adoption in the African context," *Front. Energy Res.*, vol. 12, p. 1429118, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2024.1429118>
- [16] D. Gielen, F. Boshell, D. Saygin, M. D. Bazilian, N. Wagner, and R. Gorini, "The role of renewable energy in the global energy transformation," *Energy Strategy Rev.*, vol. 24, pp. 38–50, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2019.01.006>
- [17] R. Newell, D. Raimi, S. Villanueva, and B. Prest, "Global energy outlook 2020: Energy transition or energy addition," Resources for the Future, 2020. <https://www.rff.org/publications/reports/global-energy-outlook-2020/>

- [18] M. Metayer, C. Breyer, and H. J. Fell, "The projections for the future and quality in the past of the World Energy Outlook for solar PV and other renewable energy technologies," in *31st European Photovoltaic Solar Energy Conference and Exhibition*, 2015.
- [19] N. Doan, H. Doan, C. P. Nguyen, and B. Q. Nguyen, "From Kyoto to Paris and beyond: A deep dive into the green shift," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 228, p. 120675, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2024.120675>
- [20] M. Demertzis, D. Pinkus, and N. Ruer, "Accelerating strategic investment in the European Union beyond 2026," Bruegel Report 01/2024, 2024. <https://www.bruegel.org/report/accelerating-strategic-investment-european-union-beyond-2026>
- [21] A. M. Minas, S. García-Freites, C. Walsh, V. Mukoro, J. M. Aberilla, A. April, J. Kuriakose, C. Gaete-Morales, A. Gallego-Schmid, and S. Mander, "Advancing Sustainable Development Goals through energy access: Lessons from the Global South," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 199, p. 114457, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2024.114457>
- [22] M. M. V. Cantarero, "Of renewable energy, energy democracy, and sustainable development: A roadmap to accelerate the energy transition in developing countries," *Energy Res. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 70, p. 101716, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2020.101716>
- [23] W. F. Lamb, T. Wiedmann, J. Pongratz, R. Andrew, M. Crippa, J. G. Olivier, D. Wiedenhofer, G. Mattioli, A. A. Khourdajie, and J. House, "A review of trends and drivers of greenhouse gas emissions by sector from 1990 to 2018," *Environ. Res. Lett.*, vol. 16, no. 7, p. 073005, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abee4e>
- [24] S. Singh, "Energy crisis and climate change: Global concerns and their solutions," in *Energy: Crises, Challenges and Solutions*. Wiley Online Library, 2021, pp. 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119741503.ch1>
- [25] L. V. Lerman, W. Gerstlberger, M. F. Lima, and A. G. Frank, "How governments, universities, and companies contribute to renewable energy development? A municipal innovation policy perspective of the triple helix," *Energy Res. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 71, p. 101854, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2020.101854>
- [26] W. Chen, J. Chen, and Y. Ma, "Renewable energy investment and carbon emissions under cap-and-trade mechanisms," *J. Clean. Prod.*, vol. 278, p. 123341, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123341>
- [27] M. D. Brauch, P. Toledano, L. Mehranvar, T. Iliopoulos, S. Sasmal, and M. Aydos, "Scaling investment in renewable energy generation to achieve sustainable development goals 7 (affordable and clean energy) and 13 (climate action) and the Paris agreement: Roadblocks and drivers," 2022. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.11429.37603>
- [28] H. Najam, "Retracted: Optimization of renewable energy supply for a carbon neutral society: Role of environmental regulations, sustainable finance, and financial innovation through the lens of game theory," *Geol. J.*, vol. 58, no. 9, pp. 3466–3475, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1002/gj.4746>
- [29] M. C. Sarath Chandran and B. Sathiyabama, "Green IoT: A game-changer in sustainable development: An investigation," *J. Posit. Sch. Psychol.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 3918–3927, 2022.
- [30] W. López-Castrillón, H. H. Sepúlveda, and C. Mattar, "Off-grid hybrid electrical generation systems in remote communities: Trends and characteristics in sustainability solutions," *Sustainability*, vol. 13, no. 11, p. 5856, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13115856>
- [31] B. Li, "The role of financial markets in the energy transition: an analysis of investment trends and opportunities in renewable energy and clean technology," *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, vol. 30, no. 43, pp. 97948–97964, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-29014-6>
- [32] M. S. Salvarli and H. Salvarli, "For sustainable development: Future trends in renewable energy and enabling technologies," in *Renewable Energy-Resources, Challenges and Applications*. IntechOpen, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.91842>
- [33] L. T. Tiep, N. Q. Huan, and T. T. T. Hong, "Role of corporate social responsibility in sustainable energy development in emerging economy," *Int. J. Energy Econ. Policy*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 172–186, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.10774>
- [34] J. Patchell and R. Hayter, "The Cloud's fearsome five renewable energy strategies: Coupling sustainable development goals with firm specific advantages," *J. Clean. Prod.*, vol. 288, p. 125501, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.125501>
- [35] J. Oyekale, M. Petrollese, V. Tola, and G. Cau, "Impacts of renewable energy resources on effectiveness of grid-integrated systems: Succinct review of current challenges and potential solution strategies," *Energies*, vol. 13, no. 18, p. 4856, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en13184856>
- [36] M. Y. Worku, "Recent advances in energy storage systems for renewable source grid integration: A comprehensive review," *Sustainability*, vol. 14, no. 10, p. 5985, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14105985>
- [37] S. A. Qadir, H. Al-Motairi, F. Tahir, and L. Al-Fagih, "Incentives and strategies for financing the renewable energy transition: A review," *Energy Rep.*, vol. 7, pp. 3590–3606, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egy.2021.>

- [38] R. Wang, S. C. Hsu, S. Zheng, J. H. Chen, and X. I. Li, “Renewable energy microgrids: Economic evaluation and decision making for government policies to contribute to affordable and clean energy,” *Appl. Energy*, vol. 274, p. 115287, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2020.115287>
- [39] A. El Mekaoui, R. Tariq, O. B. Ramírez, and P. E. Méndez-Monroy, “Sustainability, sociocultural challenges, and new power of capitalism for renewable energy megaprojects in an indigenous Mayan community of Mexico,” *Sustainability*, vol. 12, no. 18, p. 7432, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12187432>
- [40] Y. Teff-Seker, O. Berger-Tal, Y. Lehnardt, and N. Teschner, “Noise pollution from wind turbines and its effects on wildlife: A cross-national analysis of current policies and planning regulations,” *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 168, p. 112801, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2022.112801>
- [41] A. M. Mayeda and A. D. Boyd, “Factors influencing public perceptions of hydropower projects: A systematic literature review,” *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 121, p. 109713, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.109713>
- [42] M. J. Karamthulla, S. Prakash, A. Tadimarri, and M. Tomar, “Efficiency unleashed: Harnessing AI for agile project management,” *Int. J. Multidiscip. Res.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 1–13, 2024. <https://www.ijfmr.com/papers/2024/2/15296.pdf>
- [43] T. Beste and O. J. Klakegg, “Strategic change towards cost-efficient public construction projects,” *Int. J. Proj. Manag.*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 372–384, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2022.04.006>
- [44] I. Mikhno, V. Koval, G. Shvets, O. Garmatiuk, and R. Tamošiūnienė, “Green economy in sustainable development and improvement of resource efficiency,” *Cent. Eur. Bus. Rev.*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 99–113, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.18267/j.cebr.252>
- [45] F. Albuquerque, A. S. Torres, and F. T. Berssaneti, “Lean product development and agile project management in the construction industry,” *Rev. Gestão*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 135–151, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1108/REGE-01-2019-0021>
- [46] W. Mao, K. Ran, T. K. Wang, A. Yu, H. Lv, and J. H. Chen, “An optimization model for just-in-time (JIT) delivery of precast components considering 3D loading constraints, real-time road conditions and assembly time,” *Eng. Constr. Archit. Manag.*, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 3259–3284, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-04-2023-0372>
- [47] N. Petrukha, A. Zhmaiev, and M. Synkevych, “Innovative approaches to it project management using agile project and management methods,” *Sci. Technol. Today*, vol. 8, no. 36, 2024. [https://doi.org/10.52058/2786-6025-2024-8\(36\)-824-839](https://doi.org/10.52058/2786-6025-2024-8(36)-824-839)
- [48] V. S. Bhat, S. Bhat, and E. V. Gijo, “Simulation-based lean six sigma for Industry 4.0: An action research in the process industry,” *Int. J. Qual. Reliab. Manag.*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 1215–1245, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJQRM-05-2020-0167>
- [49] V. Pereira, J. Santos, F. Leite, and P. Escórcio, “Using BIM to improve building energy efficiency—A scientometric and systematic review,” *Energy Build.*, vol. 250, p. 111292, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2021.111292>
- [50] P. De Wilde, L. Mahdjoubi, and A. Galiano Garrigós, “Building information modelling (BIM) in design, construction and operations III,” in *2019 International Conference on Building Information Modelling*, 2019.
- [51] M. N. Pratyusha and R. Kumar, “A comparative study on critical path method and project evaluation and review technique in construction under uncertain environment,” in *Data-driven modelling with fuzzy sets*. CRC Press, 2024, pp. 150–164. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003487029-7>
- [52] H. A. Ba’Its, I. A. Puspita, and A. F. Bay, “Combination of program evaluation and review technique (PERT) and critical path method (CPM) for project schedule development,” *Int. J. Integr. Eng.*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 68–75, 2020.
- [53] S. A. Ajiboye, “Measuring process effectiveness using CPM/PERT,” *Int. J. Bus. Manag.*, vol. 6, no. 6, p. 286, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v6n6p286>
- [54] A. G. Olabi, K. Obaideen, M. A. Abdelkareem, M. N. AlMallahi, N. Shehata, A. H. Alami, A. Mdallal, A. A. M. Hassa, and E. T. Sayed, “Wind energy contribution to the sustainable development goals: Case study on London array,” *Sustainability*, vol. 15, no. 5, p. 4641, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15054641>
- [55] A. Kohegura, “Local content in the UK offshore wind industry,” Master’s thesis, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), 2017.
- [56] D. Ø. Madsen, “The emergence and evolution of Six Sigma as a management concept: A historical re-examination,” *Int. J. Process Manag. Benchmarking*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 318–349, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJPMB.2024.136470>
- [57] K. Tota-Maharaj and A. McMahan, “Resource and waste quantification scenarios for wind turbine decommissioning in the United Kingdom,” *Waste Dispos. Sustain. Energy*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 117–144,

2021. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42768-020-00057-6>
- [58] P. Beiter, P. Spitsen, J. Nunemaker, and V. Gevorgian, “2018 offshore wind technologies (Market Report),” National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), Golden, CO (United States), DOE/GO–102019-5192, 2019.
- [59] J. Terrapon-Pfaff, T. Fink, P. Viebahn, and E. M. Jamea, “Social impacts of large-scale solar thermal power plants: Assessment results for the NOORO I power plant in Morocco,” *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 113, p. 109259, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.109259>
- [60] P. Senanayaka, “Lean management techniques for reducing material waste: Finland evidence,” Master’s thesis, Lappeenranta-Lahti University of Technology (LUT University), 2025. <https://lutpub.lut.fi/handle/10024/169811>
- [61] G. W. Boehlert and A. B. Gill, “Environmental and ecological effects of ocean renewable energy development: A current synthesis,” *Oceanography*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 68–81, 2010.
- [62] A. M. Omer, “Energy, environment and sustainable development,” *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 12, no. 9, pp. 2265–2300, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2007.05.001>
- [63] A. S. Sikder, S. Ahmed, and J. Islam, “Leveraging information technology for green IT and sustainable development: An analysis of environmental sustainability, energy efficiency, and carbon footprint reduction initiatives. IT for green IT and sustainable development,” *Int. J. Imminent Sci. Technol.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 48–63, 2009.
- [64] M. Bosch-Rekveltdt, Y. Jongkind, H. Mooi, H. Bakker, and A. Verbraeck, “Grasping project complexity in large engineering projects: The TOE (Technical, Organizational and Environmental) framework,” *Int. J. Proj. Manag.*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 728–739, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2010.07.008>
- [65] K. Ranjetha, U. J. Alengaram, A. M. Alnahhal, S. Karthick, W. W. Zurina, and K. J. Rao, “Towards sustainable construction through the application of low carbon footprint products,” *Mater. Today Proc.*, vol. 52, pp. 873–881, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.10.275>
- [66] A. I. Osman, L. Chen, M. Yang, G. Msigwa, M. Farghali, S. Fawzy, D. W. Rooney, and P. S. Yap, “Cost, environmental impact, and resilience of renewable energy under a changing climate: A review,” *Environ. Chem. Lett.*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 741–764, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-022-01532-8>
- [67] C. Patterson, A. Torres, M. Coroi, K. Cumming, M. Hanson, B. F. Noble, G. M. Tabor, J. Treweek, C. Iglesias-Merchan, and J. A. G. Jaeger, “Pathways for improving the consideration of ecological connectivity in environmental assessment: Lessons from five case studies,” *Impact Assess. Proj. Apprais.*, vol. 41, no. 5, pp. 374–390, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14615517.2023.2246727>
- [68] J. Carboni, W. R. Duncan, M. Gonzalez, M. Pace, D. Smyth, and M. Young, *Sustainable Project Management: The GPM Practice Guide*. GPM Global, 2024.
- [69] M. Iguider, P. Robineau, M. Kozderka, M. Boltoeva, and G. Quaranta, “Life cycle assessment of an upcoming nuclear power plant decommissioning: The Fessenheim case study from public data,” *Int. J. Life Cycle Assess.*, vol. 29, no. 7, pp. 1229–1245, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-024-02315-9>
- [70] N. S. A. Yaro, M. H. Sutanto, L. Baloo, N. Z. Habib, A. Usman, A. K. Yousafzai, A. Ahmad, A. H. Birniwa, A. H. Jagaba, and A. Noor, “A comprehensive overview of the utilization of recycled waste materials and technologies in asphalt pavements: Towards environmental and sustainable low-carbon roads,” *Processes*, vol. 11, no. 7, p. 2095, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr11072095>
- [71] L. Chen, Y. Hu, R. Wang, X. Li, Z. Chen, J. Hua, A. I. Osman, M. Farghali, L. Huang, J. Li *et al.*, “Green building practices to integrate renewable energy in the construction sector: A review,” *Environ. Chem. Lett.*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 751–784, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-023-01675-2>
- [72] N. C. Obiuto, N. Ninduwezuo-Ehiobu, E. C. Ani, and K. Andrew, “Implementing circular economy principles to enhance safety and environmental sustainability in manufacturing,” *Int. J. Adv. Multidiscip. Res. Stud.*, vol. 4, no. 2, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.62225/2583049X.2024.4.2.2432>
- [73] R. F. Ali, S. Harel, T. Shaikh, and P. Chakraborty, “Impact of Design Principles on End-of-Life and Recycling,” in *Symposium on International Automotive Technology*. SAE Technical Paper, 2024.
- [74] O. R. Aziza, N. S. Uzougbo, and M. C. Ugwu, “Legal frameworks and the development of host communities in oil and gas regions: Balancing economic benefits and social equity,” *World J. Adv. Res. Rev.*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 1582–1594, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2023.19.3.1425>
- [75] T. Pauna, J. Lehtinen, J. Kujala, and K. Aaltonen, “The role of governmental stakeholder engagement in the sustainability of industrial engineering projects,” *Int. J. Manag. Proj. Bus.*, vol. 16, no. 8, pp. 77–99, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJMPB-09-2022-0197>
- [76] Y. Sopian and M. A. K. Harahap, “Sustainable urban planning: A holistic approach to balancing environmental conservation, economic development, and social well-being,” *West Sci. Interdiscip. Stud.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 43–53, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.58812/wsis.v1i2.87>
- [77] O. G. Bos, P. Kamermans, L. Tonk, M. Schutter, M. Maathuis, A. van Gool, T. van der Have, J. Bergsma,

- T. Raaijmakers, L. van Duren *et al.*, “Eco-friendly reef restoration pilots in offshore wind farms: Report project ecofriend 2019–2023,” Wageningen Marine Research, C018/23, 2023.
- [78] I. L. Brandao, J. van der Molen, and D. van der Wal, “Effects of offshore wind farms on suspended particulate matter derived from satellite remote sensing,” *Sci. Total Environ.*, vol. 866, p. 161114, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.161114>
- [79] Z. Salime, “Life in the Vicinity of Morocco’s Noor Solar Energy Project,” 2021. <https://merip.org/2021/04/li-fe-in-the-vicinity-of-moroccos-noor-solar-energy-project/>
- [80] S. Benyadry, M. Halimi, and A. Khouya, “Soiling impact and cleaning techniques for optimizing photovoltaic and concentrated solar power production: A state-of-the-art review,” *Energy Environ.*, vol. 35, no. 3, p. 0958305X241230624, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0958305X241230624>
- [81] A. T. Hoang and X. P. Nguyen, “Integrating renewable sources into energy system for smart city as a sagacious strategy towards clean and sustainable process,” *J. Clean. Prod.*, vol. 305, p. 127161, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.127161>
- [82] F. Ullah, S. Qayyum, M. J. Thaheem, F. Al-Turjman, and S. M. Sepasgozar, “Risk management in sustainable smart cities governance: A TOE framework,” *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change*, vol. 167, p. 120743, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2021.120743>
- [83] C. J. Axon and R. C. Darton, “Sustainability and risk—A review of energy security,” *Sustain. Prod. Consum.*, vol. 27, pp. 1195–1204, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SPC.2021.01.018>
- [84] J. Xu, M. Akhtar, M. Haris, S. Muhammad, O. J. Abban, and F. Taghizadeh-Hesary, “Energy crisis, firm profitability, and productivity: An emerging economy perspective,” *Energy Strategy Rev.*, vol. 41, p. 100849, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2022.100849>
- [85] F. Taghizadeh-Hesary and N. Yoshino, “Sustainable solutions for green financing and investment in renewable energy projects,” *Energies*, vol. 13, no. 4, p. 788, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en13040788>
- [86] A. G. Olabi and M. A. Abdelkareem, “Renewable energy and climate change,” *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 158, p. 112111, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2022.112111>
- [87] A. Nygaard, “The geopolitical risk and strategic uncertainty of green growth after the Ukraine invasion: How the circular economy can decrease the market power of and resource dependency on critical minerals,” *Circ. Econ. Sustain.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 1099–1126, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43615-022-00181-x>
- [88] M. Enserink, R. Van Etteger, A. Van den Brink, and S. Stremke, “To support or oppose renewable energy projects? A systematic literature review on the factors influencing landscape design and social acceptance,” *Energy Res. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 91, p. 102740, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2022.102740>
- [89] A. J. Marszal, P. Heiselberg, J. S. Bourrelle, E. Musall, K. Voss, I. Sartori, and A. Napolitano, “Zero Energy Building—A review of definitions and calculation methodologies,” *Energy Build.*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 971–979, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2010.12.022>
- [90] K. Kapral, K. Soetaert, and R. Castro, “An off-site Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) as a tool to protect against electricity price spikes: Developing a framework for risk assessment and mitigation,” *Energies*, vol. 17, no. 9, p. 2161, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en17092161>
- [91] P. Gabrielli, R. Aboutalebi, and G. Sansavini, “Mitigating financial risk of corporate power purchase agreements via portfolio optimization,” *Energy Econ.*, vol. 109, p. 105980, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2022.105980>
- [92] C. Roos, D. P. Cilliers, F. P. Retief, R. C. Alberts, and A. J. Bond, “Regulators’ perceptions of environmental impact assessment (EIA) benefits in a sustainable development context,” *Environ. Impact Assess. Rev.*, vol. 81, p. 106360, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2019.106360>
- [93] T. S. Aung, T. B. Fischer, and L. Shengji, “Evaluating environmental impact assessment (EIA) in the countries along the belt and road initiatives: System effectiveness and the compatibility with the Chinese EIA,” *Environ. Impact Assess. Rev.*, vol. 81, p. 106361, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2019.106361>
- [94] S. Rose, P. Jaramillo, M. J. Small, I. Grossmann, and J. Apt, “Quantifying the hurricane risk to offshore wind turbines,” *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, vol. 109, no. 9, pp. 3247–3252, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1111769109>
- [95] H. Y. Liu, N. Skandalos, L. Braslina, V. Kapsalis, and D. Karamanis, “Integrating solar energy and nature-based solutions for climate-neutral urban environments,” *Solar*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 382–415, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.3390/solar3030022>
- [96] S. Sankaran, S. Clegg, R. Müller, and N. Drouin, “Energy justice issues in renewable energy megaprojects: implications for a socioeconomic evaluation of megaprojects,” *Int. J. Manag. Proj. Bus.*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 701–718, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJMPB-06-2021-0147>
- [97] A. W. Adegboyega, E. C. Ani, S. O. Oladunni, and A. S. Pramanik, “Project management tools in renewable energy integration: A review of U.S. perspectives,” *Eng. Sci. Technol. J.*, vol. 5, no. 7, pp. 2364–2378, 2024.

<https://doi.org/10.51594/estj.v5i7.1359>

- [98] O. Akinradewo, D. Aghimien, C. Aigbavboa, and M. Onyia, "Factors influencing the adoption of insurance as a risk treatment tool by contractors in the construction industry," *Int. J. Constr. Manag.*, vol. 22, no. 13, pp. 2484–2492, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15623599.2020.1797986>
- [99] M. Madaleno, E. Dogan, and D. Taskin, "A step forward on sustainability: The nexus of environmental responsibility, green technology, clean energy and green finance," *Energy Econ.*, vol. 109, p. 105945, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2022.105945>
- [100] Z. Ren, A. S. Verma, Y. Li, J. J. Teuwen, and Z. Jiang, "Offshore wind turbine operations and maintenance: A state-of-the-art review," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 144, p. 110886, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2021.110886>